

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 13-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/678,203	10/02/2000	Cornelius Borst	P-3875.09 3753		
27581	7590 11/15/2006	•	EXAMINER		
MEDTRON			NASSER, ROBERT L		
710 MEDTRONIC PARK MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-9924			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3735		
	,		DATE MAILED: 11/15/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			· A	,			
	Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/678,20	3	BORST ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	•	Art Unit				
	Robert L. N		3735				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TH 1.136(a). In no ever od will apply and will tute, cause the appli	S COMMUNICATION  It, however, may a reply be expire SIX (6) MONTHS from Exaction to become ABANDOR	ON. Itimely filed om the mailing date of this one of the control o				
Status							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is no vance except f	on-final. or formal matters, p		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims							
4)  Claim(s) 1-68 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest signal of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest signal of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-47 and 51-65 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from con						
Application Papers	•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and according a deposition and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the latest and the specific and	ccepted or b)[ ne drawing(s) be ection is require	e held in abeyance. S d if the drawing(s) is o	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 C				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/11/2006.		4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/678,203

Art Unit: 3735

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/11/2006 has been entered.

Before applying art, the examiner notes that in reviewing the claims, it appears that none of the claims have support in the ultimate parent application, 08/531363 now US Patent 5836311.

Specifically, claims 1-31 and 51-62 recite an actuator on the proximal end of the arm causing spreading of first and second tissue engaging members. This has no support in the 08/531363.

Claims 32-47 and 63-65 recite controlling the spreading from a location outside of the body. Again, this feature does not have support in 08/531363.

Claims 48-50 and 66-68 recite introducing the first and second members into the body with both members configured together and spreading them apart both before and after engaging the heart. These features have no support in 08/531363.

In addition, it appears that none of the claims have support in the other parent applications, 09/493666, 09/334531, or 08/73371,

Specifically, claims 1-31 and 51-62 recite an actuator on the proximal end of the arm causing spreading of first and second tissue engaging members. This has no

support in the other parent applications, and such these claims have an effective filing date of 10/2/2006.

Claims 32-47 and 63-65 recite introducing the two suction members "configured substantially together." Again, this has no support in the other parent applications, and such these claims have an effective filing date of 10/2/2006.

Claims 48-50 and 66-68 recite introducing the first and second members into the body with both members configured together and spreading them apart both before and after engaging the heart. This has no support in the other parent applications, and such these claims have an effective filing date of 10/2/2006.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 6, 8-18, 22-26, 28-35, 37, 39-47, and 51-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Benetti et al 5727569 in view of Vierra et al 5749892. Specifically, in figure 9, Benetti shows first and second suction members on a distal end of a device attached to an actuator on the proximal end of the device, where the actuator selectively controls a spreader, i.e. the pivot 25, to spread the suction members to spread in a parallel manner from a first to a second to a third (and so on) position, where each position is parallel to the others from a in multiple positions. It does not have the actuator on a proximal end of an arm and the spreader on a distal

Art Unit: 3735

end. Vierra shows a similar device with the claimed configuration. As such, it would have been obvious to modify the device of Benetti to use the configuration of Vierra, as it is merely the substitution of one forceps arrangement for another. Claim 2 is rejected in that the selective spreading allows the members to be stabilized. Claims 4, 6 and 8 are rejected in that the actuator of the combination is mechanical knob controlled by a clinician. Claim 9 is rejected in that the actuator is mechanical. Claims 10-13 are rejected in that the device is capable of having a first position with no spacing, a second position spaced by a distance and third distance spaced by a greater distance. Claims 14-16 are rejected in that Vierra further teaches that it is known to attach such a heart stabilizer to a inanimate object, which can be any of the enumerated devices, to prevent movement of the heart t during surgery. Hence, it would have been obvious to modify Benetti to use such a coupling device, to improve the surgical process. Claim 17 is rejected in that the first and second surfaces include suction cups coupled to a suction source. Claims 22-26, 28 and 30-31 are rejected for the reasons given above. Claims 32-35, 37, 39-47 are rejected in that in addition tot he features discussed above, Benetti does not teach how the device is introduced. Vierra teaches introducing such a device with the suction members parallel and then spreading the members apart inside the body so as to enable a smaller incision to be made. Hence, it would have been obvious to modify Benetti to use such a method, to reduce the necessary size of the incision. Claims 51 and 54 are rejected in that the members are sized and shaped to engage tissue. Claims 52 and 55 are rejected in that there is a suction lumen open to the atmosphere. Claims 53 and 56 are rejected in that the first and second members are

1/9/06

Application/Control Number: 09/678,203

Art Unit: 3735

adapted to be coupled to tissue via a contact surface. Claims 57-65 are rejected for the reasons given above.

Claims 5, 27, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Benetti et al in view of Vierra, as applied to claims 1-4, 6, 8-18, 22-26, 28-35, 37, 39-47, and 51-65, further in view of Furnish 5,498,256. Furnish shows a hand lever actuator for forceps. Hence, it would have been obvious to modify the above combination to use the actuator of Furnish, as it is merely the substitution of one known equivalent actuator for another.

Claims 7, 29, and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Benetti et al in view of Vierra, as applied to claims 1-4, 6, 8-18, 22-26, 28-35, 37, 39-47, and 51-65, further in view of Garrison et al 5613937. In column 15, lines 40-60, Garrison teaches the equivalence of the rotating knob actuator of Vierra and the slide actuator recited in the claims. Hence, it would have been obvious to modify the above combination to use the actuator of Garrison, as it is merely the substitution of one known equivalent actuator for another.

Claims 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Benetti et al in view of Vierra, as applied to claims 1-4, 6, 8-18, 22-26, 28-35, 37, 39-47, and 51-65, further in view of Hossain et al 6063021. Hossain et al shows an identical device to Vierra that has a variable joint 40 controllable by knob 42. Such a joint allows better conformance of the device to the heart. Hence, it would have been obvious to modify Vierra et al to use such a joint, to allow a better fit onto the surface of the heart.

Application/Control Number: 09/678,203

Art Unit: 3735

Page 6

Claims 48-50 and 66-68 are allowable in that none of the art teaches spreading the members apart before prior to contacting the tissue and after contacting the tissue, as claimed.

Applicant's arguments filed 9/11/2006 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert L. Nasser whose telephone number is 571 272-4731. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor II can be reached on 571 272-4730. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert L. Nasser

ROBERT L. NASSÉR PRIMARY EXAMINER